COMINISSIONER /

IN RE INVESTIGATION OF AN ACCIDENT MICH OCCURRED ON THE GRAND RAPIDS & INDIANA RAILROAD, NEAR CADILLAC.

NICH., FEBRUARY 26, 1919.

April 3, 1919.

On February -6, 171, there was a head-on collision between a passenger truln and a freight truln about two miles south of Cadillac, Mich., on the Grand Repide & Indiana Rail-road, which resulted in the seath of the applease of the applease of the investigation of three em loyers and twenty passengers. After investigation of this accident, the Chief of the Bureau of Safety submits the following report.

This appliest conversed on the Northern Divition, which is a sin le track line extension but seen Commtook Park, Mich., (5.3 wiles morth of Grand Radius) and Hackings City, Wich., a distance of ALC.4 wiles. Train appropriate Act governed by time table and train orders. There is a manual blook system in operation which affords protection to following movements only.

Approaching the point of wordent from the north the track is tangent for distance of about 8000 feet. This tentual is on a fluctuating grade, the last 1700 feet buing on an assenting grade, naving a maximum ascent of 1.50 per cent.

There is then a curve to the left of Diagrees 50 minutes, 60 feet in length, on an ascending grade of 1.11 per cent, near the nouthern end of which the socident cocurred. Approaching the point of accident from the south the track in tangent for 8000 feet, on an ascending grade shigh reaches a maximum of 1.64 ar cent. Then comes 4-degree curve to the left about 1660 feet in length on an ascending grade of 1.37 per cent, follows by

a tangent 800 feet in length on a practically level grade, which connects with the curve on which the accident occurred.

The trains involved were southbound freight train No. 52 and northbound passenger train No. 5. Train No. 52, en route from Cadillac, Mich., to Grand Rapida, Mich., constated of a care and a caboose, handed by engine 82, and we in charge of Conductor Barrett and Engineeran Filliages. It left Cadillac at 8.20 a.m. and had proceeded about two miles when it stalled. It was while the head end of the train was standing at this point that it was struck by train No. 5.

Rapida to Mackings City, Mich., consisted of one said our, one chair car in three couches, all of mooden construction, hauded by engine No. 2, and was in charge of Conductor Ennes and Enginesian Teanlon. It passed Hobert, 5.7 miles south of Calillao, at 10.41 a.m., and at about 10.50 a.m. ran into train No. 53.

The mail our was derailed and both engines were badly damaged. With the exception of the engines very alight damage was mustained by any of the equipment. The employees billied were the engineers and firemen of the passenger train. The mouther was cold and alightly hazy, but not sufficiently of to obscure signals.

Conductor Barrett of train No. 52 stated that his train stalled in the snow and they were unable to back out. They bean taking slack, when a drawbar pulled out of the third car behind the engine. A switch engine which had been acting as a

i.

pusher then out off 1 - cure and the caboose and took the back to the yard. In the meantime the conductor and Magman Demarest were shoveling away the enos, when the latter saw train No. 5 and reaching. As the conjuctor looked he noticed that the engine was working atom, and said. My Gost, can't he see that engine? He must have potten by our flag." He had .. time table resting point with train No. 5, but held no order: against it and was moving according to time card schedule. Conductor Burrett further at sted that 's gas 119 flagran, Manchinaky, start out with a flug, that he had time enough to go a sufficient distance to insure full protection, and that he thought there was nothing to obstruct the view of the flag by the on ine cros of train No. 5 to the track where was straight for about half while on the nin was chining. He stated that in flagging a rod flag and hasen are all that is generally required. In this case he doubted if the conditions required the use of torpedee, but thought the use of a torpedo would have been proper and wight have werted the accident. His remoon was that in engineeun! , attention is sometime, alverted, and in much cause a torpe to would be more effective than a flag or fuses. He fait certain that its engine carried torpedoes, but had not checked up when leaving to see if this was so.

Engineeran Williams, of train No. 50, stated that his train stalled in the enew at 3.48 s.s. and while waiting for the return of the switch engine the news brakeman went out to flag against train No. 5 and maked whether it would be all right to take along a torpedo. The enginessay answered that it would,

but on making a search more were found. He admitted that he was responsible for seeing that his engine was properly equipped with flagging material, and before leaving had looked to see whether his engine was supplied with lantern, flags and fuseos, but had aid no attention to torpedoes. He stated that while they were waiting for the switch engine to dome back Fireway Dyer remarked that No. 5 could not be on time. Just then he looked around and new that train rounding the curve. As the train approached he could hear the engineers working steam and the fog of escaping steam on the engineers as well as the fireman's side was such as he thought was sufficient to obscure the view. His fireman jumped off and ran toward the massement train iving stop signals.

Fireman Dyer stated that when he first saw No. 5 rounding the curve he jumped out of the online and flagged from the engineman's side. The cab window was that and the engine was working steam. He said that his engine never carried torpedoes though he was familiar with the rules concerning them and thought the flagman ought to have had them. He stated that he had acted as fireman with Engineman Scanlon of No. 58 and knew the latter to be cautious in observing signals. He thought the engineman could not have seen the flag and attributed the engineman's failure to see the flag to his running through a bank of snow which would obscure the view.

Head Brakeman Masokinskey stated that at about 10.35 a.s. he went out to flag against No. 5, taking with him a red flag and a red fuses, but no torpedoes, as there were none on

the engine. He went beyond the curve can first quelle. I was coming around the hill. A very light enew was falling and the sun was addining in streaks. The simile No. 5 case around the curve on to the straight track he began giving stop alguals, continuing to do so until he was forced off the track. He lit a fuses and swamp is goveral times, and threw it at the closed cab window as the endine passed him. On account of the heavy steam escaping from the enginemant side he could see only the side window. In addition, the wind carried the engine smoke along with it and he thought all this sust have obscured the engine smoke toward Hobert. He added that the engine block his which coming toward Hobert. He added that if the No. A passed his to threw a funce at it and make an effort to the of first the rear. The point where he were standing then train No. 6 passed in the first first train No. 63.

Flagment Descares that of their life collision cocurred, he immediately started for the hear end, where he are
Head Brakeman Maschinakey, he told the end he has one to stop
No. 5 and where he had stood. Flagment Demarcal rest foot, wints
in the enew indicating that Maschinakey had been standing where
he said he had, and he picked up a burned fuse, the end of stach
looked as if it had struck some object; also the cap of a fuse
near the place where the flagment had been standing. He stated
that there was nothing to obstruct the view of a red flag at the
time, but thought that a torpedo might have been used with wivariage in stoppin. No. 5.

Conductor Enems of northbound passenger train No. 5

stated that the first intimation we had if the modifient who when it dotumily occurred. He did not see the flagmen of freight train No. 53 at any time, and if a flag had been out he saw no reason why the engine an occident have seen it. The erect of that train at time of wreck was about it railed on hour. He added that the engineers which that the engineers which that for the road occident beyond Hobert.

Brakeman Kotohum of passenger train No. 5 states that he went back with the flagman of freight train No. 53 soon after the accident and the latter jointed out the spot where he stood when he flagged the passenger train, this being about half a mile from the point of collision. One of the assengers told the brakeman he heard the flagman shouting, on the brakeman himself saw the remainer of the flagman shouting of the flagman apparently has burned.

Baggageman Hooker stated that they were running out of Hobert at a speed of from so to 35 miles an hour, show without any warning whatever, they struck the freight train. There was nothin, to indicate that the train hat been flagged. He noticed that the cab window of the engine was unut and stated that there was no application of the brakes.

Coanlon of massenger train No. 5 properly to observe and obey stop signals given by the flagren of freight train No. 52.

The evidence to that Plagren Maschinskey was 3600 feet only from his train don he flagged train No. 5, and but the signals iven by him with flag and fuses been observed the accident would no doubt have been averted.

Under Rule No. 718 enginemen are required to see that their engines are equipped with the necessary signal equipment. Engineman William; had not obeyed this rule and did not know that there were no torpedoes on the angine until they were needed when the brakemen went ahead to flag. Had this rule been complied with, and had Brakemen Masoninskey put down a torpedo when he saw no attention was being paid to his stop signals, it is possible the engine drew of train No. 5 would have been warned in time to enable them to stop their train and prevent the collision.

The engine crew of train No. 5 had been on duty less than five hours at the time of the socident.

H.F.